

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.            | FILING DATE                               | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO.        |  |
|----------------------------|---|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 10/049,791                 | 06/14/2002                                | Geun-Sun Hong        | LA-7166-101.US          | 6001                    |  |
| 167                        | 7590 12/12/2006                           |                      | EXAMINER                |                         |  |
| FULBRIGHT AND JAWORSKI LLP |   |                      | REKSTAD, ERICK J        |                         |  |
|                            | WER STREET, 41ST FLOO<br>LES,  CA   90071 | K                    | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER            |  |
|                            | •   |                      | 2621                    |                         |  |
|                            |   |                      | DATE MAILED: 12/12/2000 | DATE MAILED: 12/12/2006 |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|--|--|
| 10/049,791      | HONG, GEUN-SUN | HONG, GEUN-SUN |  |  |
| Examiner        | Art Unit       |                |  |  |
| Erick Rekstad   | 2621           |                |  |  |

|   | Erick Rekstad  | 2621  |                                       |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe   | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence add  | ress                                  |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 27 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS  | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F   | OR ALLOWANCE.   |                                       |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No. (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in completion of the periods:</li> </ol>  | n the same day as filing a Notice o<br>wing replies: (1) an amendment, a<br>otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in | f Appeal. To avoid at<br>ffidavit, or other evide<br>compliance with 37 ( | ence, which<br>CFR 41.31; or          |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of  |  | e final rejection, whicheve   | oris later In no                      |  |  |  |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  |  |   |                                       |  |  |  |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)  |  | RST REPLY WAS FILE  | O WITHIN TWO                          |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | nd the corresponding amount of the fee.<br>Itutory period for reply originally set in the                        | The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)                     | n fee under 37<br>as set forth in (b) |  |  |  |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL  |  |   |                                       |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any expine a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a Notice of Appeal has been filed.</li> </ol>  | xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)  | ), to avoid dismissal o   | of the appeal.                        |  |  |  |
| AMENDMENTS  |  |   |                                       |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> </ol>  | nsideration and/or search (see NO  |   | oecause<br>,                          |  |  |  |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet<br>appeal; and/or   | ter form for appeal by materially re   | educing or simplifying  | the issues for                        |  |  |  |
| (d) $igsqcup$ They present additional claims without canceling a  |  | jected claims.  |                                       |  |  |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1   |  |   | (570) 604                             |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> </ul>   |  | ompliant Amendment  | (PTOL-324).                           |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>   |  | , timely filed amendm   | ent canceling                         |  |  |  |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  | ill be entered and an   | explanation of                        |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed:   |  |   |                                       |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to:   |  |   |                                       |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6</u> .   | •  |   |                                       |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE   |  |   |                                       |  |  |  |
| 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but  | it before or on the date of filing a N   | Jotice of Appeal will r   | of he entered                         |  |  |  |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  | d sufficient reasons why the affida  | vit or other evidence   | s necessary                           |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> </ol>   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe   | al and/or appellant fa  | ils to provide a                      |  |  |  |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  | n of the status of the claims after e  | entry is below or attac   | hed.                                  |  |  |  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but   | t does NOT place the application i   | n condition for allowa  | nce because:                          |  |  |  |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  | (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).   |   |                                       |  |  |  |
| 13.   |  |   |                                       |  |  |  |
| •   |  |   |                                       |  |  |  |
|   |  |   |                                       |  |  |  |
|   |  |   |                                       |  |  |  |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claim 1 has been amended to further define the applicant's invention. The amendments now require the compressed digital image signal to be stored in a long-term storage and the communication interface receives and transmits information on camera movement and camera operation. This amendment has narrowed the claims and would require further consideration and/or search to determine patentability.

GIMS PHILIPPE PRIMARY EXAMINER